IRONTRICARBONYL COMPLEXES OF DIARYL- HEPTAFULVENES AND SESQUIFULVALENES. THE REACTION OF TROPONEIRON TRICARBONYL WITH DIARYLKETENES.

Zeev Goldschmidt* and Shlomo Antebi

Department of Chemistry, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, Israel (Received in UK 26 January 1978; accepted for publication 17 February 1978)

Recently Lewis <u>et al</u>. have shown that heptafulvenes can be stabilized by irontricarbonyl complexation.^{1,2} The synthesis of these fulvenes (<u>1</u>) was accomplished <u>via</u> a Grignard or sodium borohydride reduction of tropone (<u>2</u>) and acylcycloheptatriene (<u>3</u>) complexes followed by dehydration of the corresponding alcohols (<u>4</u> and <u>5</u> respectively) over silica gel.



We wish to report a novel one step synthesis of the hitherto unknown irontricarbonyl complexes of two diaryl-fulvenes, 8,8-diphenylheptafulvene $(\underline{7})^3$ and dibenzosesquifulvalene (9),⁴ by the reaction of tropone complex <u>2</u> with diphenylketene (<u>6</u>) and diphenyleneketene (8) respectively. Troponeiron tricarbonyl reacts slowly with excess of freshly prepared diphenylketene,⁵ in benzene solution at room temperature, affording after chromatography (alumina, elution with hexane) an orange red crystalline product [mp. 138-140°, 20% yield from $CH_2Cl_2 - EtOH$] which exhibited in the ir spectrum only iron-coordinated carbonyl absorptions at 2040 and 1940 cm⁻¹ with no other carbonyl signals at lower frequencies. The elemental analysis and mass spectrum suggested structure <u>7</u> as the product. This structure was further confirmed from the uv spectrum [λ_{max} (C_6H_{12}) 232 nm (ϵ 18700), 263 (15900), 333 (12400), 394 (7400)] and the 100MHz pmr spectrum [δ (CDCl₃) 3.02 (H_4 ,bt,J=8); 4.05 (H_1 ,d,8); 5.34 (H_5 ,bt,8); 5.6 (H_2 , H_3 , H_6 ,m), 7.1(10H aromatic,m)]. This spectrum closely resembles that of the previously prepared heptafulvene complexes.¹

Similarly, treating <u>2</u> with diphenyleneketene⁶ in cyclohexane solution gave deepred crystals of dibenzosesquifulvalene complex <u>9</u> [mp. 165-166^o, from CHCl₃ - hexane] whose structure was inferred from the analytical and spectroscopic data [ν_{max} 2045, 1970, 1988 cm⁻¹; λ_{max} (C₆H₁₂) 253 nm (ε 21400), 275 (15800), 285 (13200), 366 (7600), 454 (14200); pmr: δ (CDCl₃) 3.10 (H₄,bt,8); 4.80 (H₁,bd,8) 5.78 (H₂,H₃,m); 5.98 (H₅,dd, 8,11); 6.47 (H₆,d,11) 7.2, 7.7 (8H aromatic,m)].

A plausible mechanism for the formation of the complexed fulvenes $(\underline{7} \text{ and } \underline{9})$ involves a [2+2] cycloaddition of ketene and tropone complex to form a spiro β -lactone intermediate $\underline{14}$ which decomposes readily under the reaction conditions with loss of CO_2 . The reaction of ketenes with carbonyl compounds to form β -lactons has been previously studied.⁷ 3,4-Benzotropone ($\underline{10}$) reacts with diphenylketene to give diphenyl-benzoheptafulvene ($\underline{11}$).⁸ However, tropone reacts analogously only with 8-oxoheptafulvene ($\underline{12}$)⁹ to form heptafulvalene $\underline{13}$ but prefers another course with diphenyl-⁸ or dichloro-ketene.¹⁰

Consideration of this regiospecific and periselective cycloaddition by frontier orbital theory is based on the propensity of ketenes to undergo concerted $\pi^2_s + \pi^2_a$ interaction between the ketene HOMO and ketenophile LUMO.¹¹ Here, the low-lying unoccupied molecular orbital of tropone complex, which is formed by interaction of an e-level of the Fe(CO)₃ fragment¹² with tropone π_4 MO,¹³ interacts in a favorable $\pi^2_s + \pi^2_a$ manner <u>via</u> its carbonyl group with diphenylketene HOMO. This interaction is qualitatively illustrated by <u>15</u>.







12







References and Footnotes

- 1. B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis, P. McArdle and G.L.P. Randall, J.C.S. Dalton, 2076 (1972).
- 2. For a general review on transition metal complexes of fulvenes see: R.C. Kerber and D.J. Ehntholt, Synthesis, 449 (1970).
- The uncomplexed compound has not been recorded in the literature. However, a phenyl derivative has been reported by J.A. Myers, R.C. Joines and W.M. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>92</u>, 4740 (1970).
- 4. Cf. H. Prinzbach, D.Siep, L. Knothe and W. Faisst, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 698, 54 (1966).
- 5. L.I. Smith and H.H. Hoehn, Org. Syn., Coll. Vol. 3, 356 (1955).
- 6. H. Staudinger, Ber., 39, 3062 (1906).
- H.E. Zaugg, Org. React., <u>8</u>, 305 (1954).
 D. Bormann and R. Wyler, <u>Chem. Ber</u>., <u>99</u>, 1245 (1966).
- 8. C. Jutz, I. Rommel, I. Lengyel and J. Feeney, Tetrahedron, 22, 1809 (1966).
- 9. Y. Kitahara, Pure Appl. Chem., 44, 833 (1975).
- 10. J. Ciabattoni and H.W. Anderson, Tetrahedron Letters, 3377 (1967).
- K.N. Houk, <u>Acc. Chem. Res.</u>, <u>8</u>, 361 (1975);
 K.N. Houk, R.W. Strozier and J.A. Hall, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u>, 897 (1974);
 R.B. Woodward and R. Hoffmann, "<u>The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry</u>", Verlag Chemie, 1970.
- 12. M. Elian and R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem., 14, 1058 (1975).
- 13. L. Salem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 553 (1968).